



Original Research

Poultry

Effect of different phytases derived from *E. coli* AppA gene on the performance, bone mineralisation and nutrient digestibility of broiler chicken

K. Kozłowski¹, L. Nollet², A. Lanckriet², E. Vanderbeke¹, P. Mielnik¹, N. Outchkourov³ and S. Petkov³

¹University of Warmia and Mazury, Department of Poultry Science, Olsztyn, Poland

²Huvepharma NV, Antwerp, Belgium

³Huvepharma EOOD, Sofia, Bulgaria

Abstract

This study evaluated the effects of three different thermostable phytase variants, based on the AppA gene from *E. coli* (AppAT1, AppAT2 and AppAT3) on growth performance, nutrient digestibility and bone mineralisation in broiler chickens at inclusion levels of 250 and 500 FTU/kg. The eight treatment groups included a positive control (PC) which was sufficient in Ca and P, a negative control (NC, the same basal formulation as the PC, but reduced in Ca and P), and NC supplemented with AppAT1 at 250 and 500 FTU/kg (AppAT1-250 and AppAT1-500), AppAT2 at 250 and 500 FTU/kg (AppAT2-250 and AppAT2-500) and with AppAT3 at 250 and 500 FTU/kg (AppAT3-250 and AppAT3-500). Over the entire feeding period, body weight (BW) and average daily gain (ADG) were significantly higher in the PC group, with all phytase supplemented groups being statistically the same, compared to the NC group. Feed conversion (FCR) for the PC-fed birds (1.479) was significantly ($P < 0.05$) better compared to the NC birds (1.582) and those fed the AppAT3-250 diet (1.523). Reduced levels of Ca and P in the NC group led to significantly ($P < 0.05$) lower tibia ash (40.9%) compared to the PC group (47.4%). Birds fed the phytase diets had significantly higher tibia ash compared to the NC birds, with those from the AppAT2-500 and AppAT3-500 groups being statistically the same as the PC group. Diets AppAT1-500, AppAT2-250, AppAT2-500 and AppAT3-500 significantly increased Ca digestibility compared to the NC. Apparent total track digestibility (ATTD) of P was improved for AppAT1-500 and AppAT2-250. The ATTD of Ca and P for all of the phytase supplemented groups reached the same level of the PC and AppAT1-500 group. It was concluded that adding any of the phytases tested, especially when included at 500 FTU/kg to a feed reduced in Ca and P, led to improved performance and bone mineralisation back to the same levels as seen for the Ca and P sufficient diet.

Keywords: Phytase: *E. coli*: broiler: digestibility: phytate

(Received 23 June 2019 – Accepted 14 July 2019)

Introduction

Phytases have received significant focus from researchers for decades, and have been used commercially since the early 1990s (Cowieson *et al.*, 2011). Phytate is the main storage form of phosphate in plant material. It has anti-nutritive effects in poultry, because it binds P and other nutrients and decreases their availability (Cabahug *et al.*,

1999; Cowieson *et al.*, 2004; Cowieson and Bedford, 2009; Beeson *et al.*, 2017). Ravindran *et al.* (2000) were one of the first to conclude that the adverse effect of phytic acid could be overcome by supplemental phytase, resulting in improved performance of broilers. In plant-derived feed ingredients, phytate can normally be found in concentrations ranging from 5 to 25 g/kg (CVB, 2018).

The phytase enzyme hydrolyses phytic acid to inositol and inorganic P, which results in improved P utilisation (Singh *et al.*, 2003b). The four possible sources of phytase are intestinal, microbial (from either microflora in the digestive tract or exogenous sources) and endogenous (from plant materials). Research has shown that, although cereals and their by-products can be used in diets as exogenous sources of phytase (Barrier-Gillot *et al.*, 1996), the efficacy of their use is highly variable, as poor heat stability of the enzyme restricts their use, particularly in pelleted diets (Eeckhout and De Paepe, 1996). Additionally, it has been shown that plant phytases have a lower efficacy when compared with microbial phytases from yeast and fungi (Eeckhout and De Paepe, 1994), which are more heat stable and active over a wider pH range, retaining their activity within the gizzard and proventriculus (Simons *et al.*, 1990). Consequently, microbial phytases are now more commonly used in commercial feeds. The first commercially available phytase was an *Aspergillus niger* 3-phytase, but in the last two decades newer 6-phytase originating from *E. coli*, *Peniophora*, *Citrobacter* or *Buttiauxella spp.* have entered the market.

Multiple studies have reported that microbial phytase improves chicken growth performance (Simons *et al.*, 1990; Sebastian *et al.*, 1996; Singh *et al.*, 2003a; Kozłowski *et al.*, 2010; Rutherford *et al.*, 2012; Beeson *et al.*, 2017; Dersjant-Li *et al.*, 2018), promotes the digestibility and availability of phytate-bound P, Ca, Cu and Zn and increases ileal crude protein, amino acid digestibility (Ravindran *et al.*, 2000; Singh, 2008; Rutherford *et al.*, 2012) and bone ash (Kozłowski *et al.*, 2009; Leyva-Jimenez *et al.*, 2019). Phytase supplementation has been demonstrated to allow complete, safe and economic replacement of dietary inorganic phosphorus, reducing feed costs by improving FCR (Singh *et al.*, 2003a, b). The effect of supplementing microbial phytase does, however, depend on its inclusion rate, form of the diet, bird age and genotype, and nutrient content of the diet (Singh, 2008). However, it has been acknowledged that the source and level of the phytase in feed can influence the magnitude of responses in poultry, both on performance and bone development (Walk *et al.*, 2018; Leyva-Jimenez *et al.*, 2019; Walk *et al.*, 2019). However, the effect of level, source and granulometry of Ca sources has been shown to have a negative impact on phytase activity (Amerah *et al.*, 2014; Delezie *et al.*, 2015; Dersjant-Li *et al.*, 2018; Kim *et al.*, 2018).

Acceptance of new phytases by the animal industry depends on many factors (Lei and Stahl, 2001). Based

on the AppA2 gene from *E. coli*, several novel phytase variants with highly improved thermal stability have been obtained via protein engineering and analysed in a series of preliminary *in vitro* experiments to determine their intrinsic heat stability. Of these, three were selected for future evaluation *in vivo*.

The aim of the current study was to evaluate the performance *in vivo* of these three novel phytases on growth parameters, digestibility and bone mineralisation in broiler chickens in order to select the most promising variant for further development.

Materials and Methods

Birds

A total of 1056, one-day-old male Ross 308 broilers obtained from a commercial hatchery in Mońki, Poland were used in the study conducted at an experimental farm near Olsztyn, Poland. The trial was carried out in a commercial poultry house with an artificial lighting program, automated gas heating and forced ventilation. The lighting and heating program were conducted following the recommendations given in the Ross Production Manual (Aviagen, 2014). Temperature from d 1 to d 3 was 29-30°C which was lowered to reach 21°C at d 21 and then maintained at this temperature until the end of the trial. Chickens were housed in 96 floor pens (measuring 0.7 m²), with 11 birds in each pen. Chickens were given *ad libitum* access to water and feed for the duration of the trial (35 days).

Diets and treatments

Upon arrival at the farm, chickens were randomly allocated to treatments and replicates. There were eight treatment groups, each having 12 pens of 11 birds and providing a total 132 birds per treatment.

Three different phytases were produced by Huvepharma (3a Nikolay Haytov Str., 1113 Sofia, Bulgaria) and named AppAT1, AppAT2 and AppAT3. They were developed from the AppA2 gene derived from *E. coli*, as described in Rodriguez *et al.* (1999) and inserted and expressed in *Komatagaella phaffii* (formerly known as *Pichia pastoris*). For each phytase, two premixes were prepared using wheat flour as a diluent, to achieve a concentration of either 250 FTU/kg feed or 500 FTU/kg feed when the premixes were added to the feed at an inclusion level of 500 g/tonne. The eight treatment groups included a positive control (PC - sufficient in Ca and P), a negative control (NC; formulated in the same way as the PC but reduced in Ca

and P), AppAT1-250 (NC + AppAT1 at 250 FTU/kg), AppAT1-500 (NC + AppAT1 at 500 FTU/kg), AppAT2-250 (NC + AppAT2 at 250 FTU/kg), AppAT3-500 (NC + AppAT2 at 500 FTU/kg), AppAT3-250 (NC + AppAT3 at 250 FTU/kg) and AppAT3-500 (NC + AppAT3 at 500 FTU/kg).

The composition and nutritional values of the basal diets, fed in pelleted form, are shown in Table 1. All diets met the nutritional requirements for broiler chicks (NRC, 1994) except for Ca and P in the NC in grower and finisher. The birds from all treatment groups received the same starter diet until d 5 to avoid early health problems in the NC group which would be expected to occur due to insufficient P intake. Following this, treatments were imposed as above during the grower and finisher phases. These diets were formulated to differ by approximately 1.5 g/kg in available P between the negative and positive control diets. Grower diets were used from d 6 to 21 and finisher diets from d 22 to 35 (end of the trial).

Proximate analysis was conducted using official methods (AOAC, 2012). Phytase activity was spectrophotometrically conducted by Biovet (Bulgaria) according to phytase assay EN ISO 30024 (2009). Results are indicated in Table 2.

Measurements

The BW of the birds was recorded per pen at d 1, 5, 21 and 35 of age and ADG was calculated. Average daily feed intake (ADFI) for the starter (d 1-5), grower (d 6-21) and finisher (d 22-35) periods were recorded and used to calculate the FCR. Bird mortality, culls or removals for other reasons were recorded. The BW of dead, culled or removed birds was used to correct the FCR.

On day 21, two birds from each pen, which were closest to the average weight, were euthanised by cervical dislocation. Their right tibias were removed, cleaned and analysed for ash (AOAC, 2012). The tibia samples from the two birds from each pen were pooled before

Table 1. Composition and nutrient density of the experimental diets

Ingredients %	Starter (d 1-5)	Grower (d 6-21)		Finisher (d 22-35)	
		PC	NC	PC	NC
Corn	36.18	57.00	58.27	54.59	55.80
Wheat	25.00	-	-	-	-
Soybean meal	31.50	25.20	25.20	19.60	19.40
Rapeseed meal	-	10.00	10.00	15.00	15.00
Animal fat (lard)	-	3.00	3.00	4.00	4.00
Soybean oil	2.90	1.40	0.95	2.55	2.15
Na-bicarbonate	0.26	0.21	0.21	0.20	0.20
Salt	0.21	0.22	0.22	0.20	0.20
Limestone	1.39	1.11	1.16	0.97	1.02
MCP	1.56	0.98	0.31	0.84	0.18
DL-Methionine	0.24	0.18	0.18	0.19	0.19
L-Lysine	0.18	0.17	0.18	0.26	0.26
L-Threonine	0.06	0.03	0.03	0.06	0.06
L-Valine	0.03	-	-	0.05	0.05
TiO ₂	-	-	-	1.00	1.00
Premix ¹	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50
Nutrient					
ME (kcal/kg)	2851	2902	2901	2951	2952
Crude protein (g/kg)	214.80	204.80	204.90	193.50	193.50
Crude fibre (g/kg)	24.20	31.10	31.20	34.50	34.60
Crude fat (g/kg)	52.20	74.20	70.30	95.00	91.50
Crude ash (g/kg)	60.90	54.50	48.40	61.40	55.40
Dig. Lysine (g/kg)	10.99	10.32	10.32	10.22	10.18
Dig. Methionine (g/kg)	5.15	4.69	4.69	4.69	4.70
Dig. Met + Cys (g/kg)	8.04	7.53	7.55	7.46	7.47
Dig. Threonine (g/kg)	7.13	6.75	6.74	6.65	6.64
Dig. Valine (g/kg)	8.78	8.25	8.25	8.14	8.13
Calcium (g/kg)	9.00	7.50	6.50	7.00	6.00
Total P (g/kg)	7.10	6.30	4.80	6.00	4.60
Available P (g/kg)	4.49	3.41	1.91	3.10	1.62

PC - positive control, NC - negative control, dig - digestible, ME - metabolizable energy

¹Contents per kg diet: 4800 IU vit. A; 480 IU vit. D3; 96 mg vit. E (α -tocopherol acetate); 3.6 mg vit. K3; 3 mg vit. B1; 3 mg vit. B2; 30 mg nicotinic acid; 4.8 mg vit. B6; 24 μ g vit. B12; 300 μ g biotin; 12 mg calcium pantothenic acid; 1.2 mg folic acid; 960 mg choline chloride; 60 mg Zn (zinc oxide); 24 mg Fe (iron carbonate); 72 mg Mn (manganese oxide); 14.4 mg Cu (copper sulphate-pentahydrate); 0.54 mg I (calcium iodate); 0.36 mg Co (cobalt- (II)-sulphate-heptahydrate); 0.42 mg Se (sodium selenite); 1.56 g Na (sodium chloride); 0.66 g Mg (magnesium oxide)

Table 2. Composition analysis and phytase activity levels in the experimental diets

Feeding phase	Treatment	Dry matter %	Crude protein %	Crude fat %	Crude fibre %	Crude ash %	Ca %	P %	Phytase FTU/kg
Starter		88.8	22.9	5.2	1.9	4.7	0.92	0.71	
Grower	PC	88.8	21.0	7.0	3.7	6.8	0.83	0.64	18
	NC	88.8	21.1	6.8	3.6	6.2	0.70	0.49	22
	AppAT1-250	88.8	20.8	6.7	3.6	6.2	0.70	0.48	235
	AppAT1-500	89.1	20.8	6.9	3.9	6.4	0.68	0.48	440
	AppAT2-250	88.9	21.0	6.7	3.6	6.5	0.71	0.49	255
	AppAT2-500	88.9	20.7	6.6	3.7	6.6	0.71	0.49	540
	AppAT3-250	88.8	20.8	6.7	3.6	6.4	0.69	0.47	230
	AppAT3-500	88.8	20.7	6.7	3.8	6.3	0.70	0.48	470
Finisher	PC	88.9	18.2	9.2	4.3	6.3	0.71	0.61	20
	NC	88.9	18.0	8.8	4.5	6.1	0.60	0.46	17
	AppAT1-250	89.0	17.8	8.8	4.7	6.2	0.62	0.43	250
	AppAT1-500	89.0	18.1	8.7	4.6	6.1	0.62	0.48	485
	AppAT2-250	88.9	18.0	8.8	4.5	6.1	0.64	0.46	280
	AppAT2-500	89.0	17.8	8.8	4.5	6.1	0.60	0.41	560
	AppAT3-250	89.1	17.9	9.0	4.7	6.0	0.60	0.38	240
	AppAT3-500	89.1	17.6	8.7	4.7	6.2	0.62	0.45	510

analysis. During the finisher phase of the experiment (d 31 to 33) in the morning and afternoon, clean excreta (free from feathers, litter and feed) were collected using plastic liners placed in the excreta collection trays (trays measuring 0.6 x 0.4 m) underneath each pen. Excreta samples were immediately frozen until further analysis. For analysis, extra samples were freeze dried, ground and analysed for the indigestible marker (TiO_2), dry matter, ash, Ca and P. Performing similar analysis on the finisher feeds, the apparent total tract digestibility (ATTD) of these nutrients was calculated as:

$$100 - (1 - (\text{nutrient in faeces}/(\text{nutrient in feed} \times \text{marker in feed}/\text{marker in excreta})))$$

according to the method of Short *et al.* (1996). All the experiments complied with the guidelines of the Local Ethics Commission (Poland) with respect to animal experimentation and the care of animals under study.

Statistical Analysis

BW (as pen means), ADG, ADFI and FCR were analysed separately by ANOVA using a randomised block to compare the eight treatment groups. Analysis of variance was performed using ANOVA and means were compared using the Tukey's test (Statistica for Windows, version 13.1). Results were considered significant at $P \leq 0.05$, and levels of significance between $P > 0.05$ and $P \leq 0.10$ were considered strong trends.

Results

The effects of the experimental diets on performance are shown in Table 3. During the study, a total of 29 birds died. No significant differences ($P > 0.05$) in mortality

were seen between the treatment groups, which was less than 5% and mainly due to Sudden Death Syndrome and cachexia (data not shown).

Body weight and average daily gain

There were significant ($P < 0.05$) differences in BW at d 21, with birds in the PC group being heavier (0.998 kg) than those in the NC group (0.886 kg) and in five out of the six phytase supplemented groups (AppAT1-250, AppAT1-500, AppAT2-250, AppAT3-250 and AppAT3-500; Table 3). The AppAT2-500 group had similar body weights to the PC group (0.985 kg versus 0.998 kg). Both BW and ADG were significantly ($P < 0.05$) improved in all phytase-supplemented diet groups compared to the NC group by the end of the grower period (d 21).

During the finisher period, the ADG for the PC-fed birds and for five of the six phytase supplemented groups (all except AppAT1-250) was significantly ($P < 0.05$) higher than the ADG of the NC group. At the end of the experiment, at d 35, the BW and ADG over the entire study period (d 6-35) were significantly ($P < 0.05$) higher in the PC group and in all phytase fed birds compared to those in the NC group.

In early phytase feeding trials, Nelson *et al.* (1971) recorded a 33.3% improvement in body weight gain when they added 0.4% crude phytase (from *A. ficcum* NRRL 3135) to a corn and soybean meal-based broiler diets containing 0.24% phytate phosphorus. Closer in response to the current data, Sebastian *et al.* (1996) showed that 600 phytase units/kg in a low P feed increased ($P \leq 0.05$) body weight by 13.2% in males and 5.8% in females at 21 d of age, which was comparable

Table 3. Performance of broiler chickens aged 5, 6-21, 22-35 and 6-35 days old, fed diets varying in Ca and P levels, phytase variant and inclusion level

Days 6-21	BW, 5 days kg	BW, 21 days kg	ADG G	ADFI g	FCR kg/kg
PC	0.149±0.004	0.998 ^a ±0.024	53.1 ^a ±1.3	69.3 ^{xy} ±3.2	1.306 ^a ±0.051
NC	0.149±0.004	0.886 ^d ±0.020	46.0 ^d ±1.3	66.1 ^y ±3.5	1.436 ^{cy} ±0.062
AppAT1-250	0.150±0.004	0.947 ^c ±0.027	49.8 ^c ±1.8	67.9 ^{xy} ±1.4	1.364 ^{abc} ±0.060
AppAT1-500	0.148±0.004	0.959 ^{bc} ±0.038	50.7 ^{bc} ±2.3	68.8 ^{xy} ±3.4	1.358 ^{abc} ±0.068
AppAT2-250	0.149±0.002	0.956 ^{bc} ±0.016	50.5 ^{bc} ±1.0	70.1 ^x ±4.2	1.389 ^{bc} ±0.085
AppAT2-500	0.149±0.004	0.985 ^{ab} ±0.037	52.3 ^{ab} ±2.3	70.4 ^x ±3.0	1.350 ^{ab} ±0.065
AppAT3-250	0.150±0.004	0.934 ^c ±0.032	49.0 ^c ±1.9	68.7 ^{xy} ±3.1	1.400 ^{bc} ±0.050
AppAT3-500	0.148±0.003	0.960 ^{bc} ±0.034	50.7 ^{bc} ±2.2	68.7 ^{xy} ±3.4	1.356 ^{abc} ±0.069
SEM	<0.001	0.004	0.272	0.345	0.007
P value	0.922	<0.001	<0.001	0.055	<0.001

Days 22-35	BW, 35 days kg	ADG g	ADFI g	FCR kg/kg
PC	2.350 ^a ±0.094	96.6 ^a ±6.8	153.8 ^{abc} ±9.3	1.616 ^a ±0.049
NC	2.121 ^b ±0.062	88.2 ^{by} ±4.1	147.9 ^c ±6.8	1.691 ^{by} ±0.047
AppAT1-250	2.284 ^a ±0.085	95.5 ^{abx} ±5.2	149.0 ^{bcy} ±8.2	1.589 ^{bc} ±0.073
AppAT1-500	2.320 ^a ±0.101	97.2 ^a ±6.7	153.4 ^{abc} ±9.8	1.604 ^a ±0.061
AppAT2-250	2.346 ^a ±0.076	99.2 ^a ±4.6	158.7 ^{abx} ±6.4	1.607 ^a ±0.048
AppAT2-500	2.385 ^a ±0.100	99.9 ^a ±6.3	159.5 ^a ±5.9	1.624 ^{abx} ±0.046
AppAT3-250	2.284 ^a ±0.111	96.4 ^a ±6.6	153.8 ^{abc} ±8.7	1.613 ^a ±0.041
AppAT3-500	2.348 ^a ±0.122	99.2 ^a ±7.3	154.3 ^{abc} ±7.9	1.580 ^a ±0.052
SEM	0.012	0.695	0.874	0.006
P value	<0.001	<0.001	0.060	<0.001

Days 6-35	BW, 35 days kg	ADG g	ADFI g	FCR kg/kg
PC	2.350 ^a ±0.094	73.4 ^a ±3.1	119.4 ^{xy} ±5.8	1.479 ^a ±0.030
NC	2.121 ^b ±0.062	65.7 ^b ±2.0	114.2 ^y ±2.7	1.582 ^c ±0.033
AppAT1-250	2.284 ^a ±0.085	71.1 ^a ±2.8	117.5 ^{xy} ±6.7	1.491 ^{ab} ±0.034
AppAT1-500	2.320 ^a ±0.101	72.4 ^a ±3.3	119.4 ^{xy} ±6.0	1.498 ^{ab} ±0.036
AppAT2-250	2.346 ^a ±0.076	73.2 ^a ±2.5	119.6 ^{xy} ±3.7	1.516 ^{ab} ±0.018
AppAT2-500	2.385 ^a ±0.100	74.5 ^a ±3.3	122.2 ^x ±5.4	1.506 ^{ab} ±0.038
AppAT3-250	2.284 ^a ±0.111	71.1 ^a ±3.6	118.2 ^{xy} ±7.4	1.523 ^b ±0.024
AppAT3-500	2.348 ^a ±0.122	73.3 ^a ±4.1	118.4 ^{xy} ±5.9	1.485 ^{ab} ±0.044
SEM	0.012	0.408	0.593	0.005
P value	<0.001	<0.001	0.070	<0.001

No. replicates = 96 (12 replicates of 11 birds/treatment); SEM = Standard Error Mean; BW = body weight; ADG = mean daily gain; ADFI = mean daily feed intake; FCR = feed/gain. Values in same columns with no common superscript (a, b, c, d) are significantly different ($P < 0.05$), and with x, y, z $0.05 < P \leq 0.10$ are considered as a near-significant trend. * SEM and P value after arcsine transformation of liveability percentages

to performance in the birds fed the normal P diet. Similar observations for increased body weight with phytase supplementation have been reported abundantly in trials with broiler chickens using different sources of phytases, at both low and high inclusion levels (Gautier *et al.*, 2018; Leyva-Jimenez *et al.*, 2019).

Beeson and associates (2017) reported that body weight gain increased ($P < 0.01$) linearly with phytase inclusion level (0, 500 or 1500 FTU/kg) in birds fed a nutritionally adequate positive control group and increased quadratically with phytase inclusion level in birds fed a negative control diet which was marginally deficient in dietary available P and Ca. Similarly, Dersjant-Li *et al.* (2018) reported greater body weight gain and lower FCR ($P < 0.05$) when phytase was supplemented at a higher dose of 1000 FTU/kg, compared to 500 FTU/kg feed, during both the starter and grower phases.

In contrast, Scholey *et al.* (2018) concluded that relying on phytase alone could not be recommended in grower diets unless phytase was supplied at doses of 1000 FTU/kg or more. Their results illustrated that birds fed a control diet containing no phytase had significantly higher body weight gain ($P = 0.001$) compared to those fed diets containing 500 or 750 FTU/kg of phytase, which were low in inorganic P.

Average daily feed intake

All phytases at all inclusion levels increased ADFI in all feeding phases versus the NC. ADFI differed numerically with near-significant trends seen between treatments during the grower period (d 6-21; $P = 0.055$), the finisher period (d 22-35, $P = 0.06$) and the entire trial period (d 6-35; $P = 0.07$; Table 3). The birds given AppAT2-250 and AppAT2-500 diets consumed significantly more

feed than those in the NC group during the grower (d 6-21), which was, on average, more than those in the other phytase treatments. Birds from the AppAT2-500 group consumed more feed than the AppAT1-250 group, which led to better gain (2.385 kg final BW) compared to the birds fed AppAT1-250 (2.284 kg final BW). Over the entire trial period the AppAT2-500 birds consumed more feed than the NC bird, and hence the final body weight of birds of NC was the lowest for all dietary treatment groups (2.121 kg). Rutherford *et al.* (2012) reported that, over a three-week feeding trial, feed intake and corresponding weight gain was lower ($P<0.05$) for birds fed an unsupplemented low P diet compared to an adequate P diet. Sebastian *et al.* (1996) reported that phytase supplementation overcame ($P\leq 0.05$) decreases in feed intake seen when feeding a low P diet. There was an increase in feed intake and corresponding weight gain ($P<0.01$) but no effect ($P>0.05$) was seen for FCR in birds fed a phytase-supplemented low P diet compared to an unsupplemented low P diet, whereby performance in birds fed the former was equal to the birds fed an adequate P diet. Delezie *et al.* (2015) found that phytase tended to increase feed intake, mainly when high levels of Ca (range 8.6 to 6.5 g/kg from starter and finisher feed respectively) were applied in the feed. At lower Ca levels (range 7.5 to 4.9 g/kg from starter and finisher feed respectively) no such effect was observed.

Feed conversion ratio

Significant differences between treatments during the grower period (d 6-21) were seen for FCR, with the NC group exhibiting the worst FCR numerically, and the PC and AppAT2-500 groups having significantly ($P<0.05$) better FCR compared to the NC group (Table 3). During the finisher period (d 22-35) the FCR of the birds fed the PC and all of the phytase treatment groups (except AppAT2-500) were significantly ($P<0.05$) lower than the FCR for the NC group. Over the entire trial period, the FCR in the PC group was significantly ($P<0.05$) better compared to birds in the NC and AppAT3-250 groups. All phytase treatment groups had significant improved FCR *vs.* the NC fed birds.

In contrast with the current findings, Sebastian *et al.* (1996) did not see any advantages in FCR in their phytase study. This agreed with data from Simons *et al.* (1990) who did not find any significant improvements in FCR in broilers fed a corn-soya bean diet supplemented with phytase. However, Walk *et al.* (2012) showed that,

although ADFI and BW gain were not affected by Ca or phytase addition, FCR improved ($P<0.05$) as dietary phytase inclusion increased. Recent studies, with the latest developed commercial phytases, reported inconclusive effects of phytase on FCR, which could be linked the fact that final BW of birds fed the phytase supplemented diets was higher than the negative control group (Delezie *et al.*, 2015; Beeson *et al.*, 2017; Scholey *et al.*, 2018; Leyva-Jimenez *et al.*, 2019). Hence, the published data shows varying degrees or even lack of responses to phytase addition, which probably reflects source and efficacy of the enzymes used.

Bone parameters

The reduced levels of Ca and P in the NC group resulted in significantly lower tibia ash content when expressed on dry matter basis (40.9%; $P<0.05$) compared with the PC group (47.4%; PC; Table 4). The birds fed the phytase supplemented diets had significantly ($P<0.05$) higher tibia ash compared to the NC birds. Those fed the AppAT2-500 and AppAT3-500 diets had statistically similar tibia ash contents to the PC birds.

Walk *et al.* (2012) studied two levels of dietary Ca from limestone (1.03% and 0.64%) and three levels of phytase (0, 500 or 5000 FTU/kg) on broiler performance, bone ash, gastro-intestinal pH and apparent ileal digestibility of Ca, P and amino acids. They reported that tibia ash was reduced ($P<0.05$) from 41.4% to 40% as dietary Ca decreased but increased with phytase addition ($P<0.05$). Chung *et al.* (2013) reported that bone mineral density was increased in diets containing one of the two different phytases tested across varying inclusion levels, with an average of 9% improvement in the tibia and 13% in the femur. Cabahug *et al.* (1999) supplemented microbial

Table 4. Tibia ash, tibia ash per bone and tibia as percentage dry matter in broiler chickens aged 6-35 days old fed diets varying in Ca and P levels, phytase type and inclusion level

Treatment	Tibia ash (g)	Tibia ash/bone (%)	Tibia ash (%/DM)
PC	2.02 ^a ±0.11	43.9 ^a ±1.3	47.4 ^a ±1.3
NC	1.41 ^d ±0.29	37.6 ^d ±1.6	40.9 ^d ±1.8
AppAT1-250	1.79 ^b ±0.12	41.6 ^b ±1.8	45.1 ^b ±2.1
AppAT1-500	1.83 ^{abc} ±0.17	41.9 ^{bc} ±1.4	45.4 ^{bc} ±1.4
AppAT2-250	1.73 ^c ±0.13	41.4 ^c ±1.2	45.0 ^c ±1.3
AppAT2-500	1.98 ^{ab} ±0.17	43.4 ^{ab} ±1.1	47.1 ^{ab} ±1.2
AppAT3-250	1.63 ^{cd} ±0.17	41.1 ^c ±1.0	44.6 ^c ±1.1
AppAT3-500	1.82 ^{abc} ±0.21	42.2 ^{abc} ±1.4	45.9 ^{abc} ±1.7
SEM	0.026	0.226	0.026
P value	<0.000001	<0.000001	<0.000001

Notes: 12 replicates of two bones/treatment; SEM = Standard Error Mean; Values in same columns with no common superscript; (a,b,c,d) are significantly different ($P<0.05$), and with x,y,z - 0.05< $P\leq 0.10$ are considered as a near-significant trend

Table 5. Apparent total tract digestibility coefficients in broiler chickens aged 6-35 days old fed diets varying in P levels, phytase type and inclusion level

Treatment	Dry matter	Ash	Ca	P
PC	67.4±1.8	30.5±3.7	45.4 ^{bcdx} ±2.9	48.9 ^{ab} ±2.7
NC	66.5±2.7	29.7±4.4	40.2 ^{dy} ±5.9	47.1 ^b ±3.8
AppAT1-250	67.5±2.2	29.3±4.4	45.5 ^{bcdx} ±3.1	51.0 ^{ab} ±3.0
AppAT1-500	67.7±1.9	29.9±2.8	51.2 ^a ±4.2	53.5 ^{ax} ±2.7
AppAT2-250	67.4±2.7	30.4±3.8	48.8 ^{ab} ±5.8	53.1 ^a ±6.2
AppAT2-500	66.1±3.1	30.6±2.7	48.2 ^{abc} ±3.5	51.5 ^{ab} ±4.5
AppAT3-250	68.3±2.6	32.6±2.5	43.2 ^{cd} ±3.7	48.5 ^{aby} ±4.8
AppAT3-500	67.7±2.7	29.0±2.1	46.7 ^{abc} ±4.2	51.0 ^{ab} ±4.9
SEM	0.255	0.352	0.535	0.468
P value	0.488	0.272	<0.001	0.003

Notes: n° replicates = 96 (12 replicates of 11 birds/treatment); SEM = Standard Error Mean; Values in same columns with no common superscript (a,b,c,d) are significantly different (P<0.05), and with x,y,z - 0.05<P≤0.10 are considered as a near-significant trend

phytase in a wheat-sorghum-soya bean meal diet containing three concentrations of phytate P (2.9, 3.7 and 4.4 g/kg diet). Toe ash increased with phytase addition, but was best at the highest concentration of phytic acid, due to a significant phytic acid x phytase interaction. In addition, Gautier *et al.* (2018) reported increases in bone ash with the inclusion of phytase (P<0.01) at 1500 FTU/kg, as did Leyva-Jimenez *et al.* (2019) who reported that all four of the phytase sources tested improved bone mineralisation (P<0.05) at 14 and 22 days of age. Dersjant-Li *et al.* (2018) however reported that tibia ash was unaffected by the addition of phytase to a P-sufficient diet, which may have been due to a saturation of bone ash formation in the presence of adequate levels of Ca and P.

Total tract digestibility

The phytase inclusion significantly (P<0.05) increased the Ca digestibility, versus the NC with the exception of the AppAT1-250 and AppAT3-250 groups (Table 5). The AppAT1-500 group showed significantly (P<0.05) higher Ca digestibility compared to birds fed the PC diet (51.2% versus 45.4%). Compared to the NC, P digestibility was improved for the AppAT1-500 and AppAT2-250 groups.

Ravindran *et al.* (2000) added phytase (400 or 800 phytase unit/kg) to wheat-sorghum-soybean-rice-pollard based broiler diets containing three levels of phytate-P (0.29, 0.37 or 0.44%). They reported improved (P<0.05) ileal digestibility of nitrogen ranging from 1.6 to 4.7% by phytase supplementation. In later work, Ravindran *et al.* (2008) reported increases in Ca or P digestibility when dietary phytase was supplemented into broiler diets. Sebastian *et al.* (1996) reported improvements in P digestibility, but not Ca digestibility, when phytase was added to broiler diets. Chung *et al.* (2013) showed that the addition of dietary phytase

increased the apparent retention of Ca, Na, Cu and ileal phytase P absorption from 32-44% across various inclusion levels. In a three-week broiler trial, Rutherford *et al.* (2012) used two levels of phytase (1000 and 2000 U/kg) in low P corn-soya bean meal diets and found that the ileal phytate-P absorption in the low P corn-soy meals was significantly (P<0.05) higher with the inclusion of phytase. Apparent ileal total P absorption and apparent total P retention were higher (P<0.05) in the phytase diets. There were no differences (P>0.05) across treatments for apparent or true ileal CP digestibility. However, there was a difference (P<0.05) across treatments in apparent ileal digestibility for all of the amino acids tested. Dersjant-Li *et al.* (2018) determined that, compared to the positive control diet containing no phytase but meeting breeder's recommendations, phytase inclusion (500 or 1000 FTU/kg) generally enhanced ileal and total tract digestibility of P and to a lesser extent Ca. They reported that the ileal digestibility of P at d 10 and d 41 and of Ca at d 41 was higher in the 1000 FTU/kg phytase group compared to the diet supplemented with lower levels.

Differences in results between this study and other peer reviewed papers may be explained by the varying effects which are dependent on the inclusion rate, source of phytase, type of diet, bird characteristics and nutrient content of the diet used in the study (Singh, 2008; Amerah *et al.*, 2014).

Conclusions

Adding the three different thermostable phytase variants based on AppA2 gene from *E. coli* (AppAT1, AppAT2 and AppAT3) at 250 and 500 FTU/kg to the NC diet had significantly beneficial effects on BW and ADG, FCR and tibia ash content over the entire trial period (up to 35 d of age). Ca digestibility was improved in four

of the six phytase supplemented groups (AppAT1-500, AppAT2-250, AppAT2-500 and AppAT3-500) and P digestibility was improved in the AppAT1-500 and AppAT2-250 groups. It can be concluded that adding the three phytase variants to a reduced Ca and P diet improved performance and bone mineralisation, bringing these parameters back to the same levels as a mineral sufficient diet. For all phytase variants, the inclusion rate of 500 FTU/kg feed gave better results on performance, tibia ash and digestibility compared to the 250 FTU/kg dose. Although differences between the three phytase variants on performance and digestibility parameters were not significant, the AppAT2 seems to be the most promising candidate for further evaluation.

References

- Amerah A.M., Plumstead P.W., Barnard L.P. and Kumar A. (2014). Effect of calcium level and phytase addition on ileal phytate degradation and amino acid digestibility of broilers fed corn-based diets. *Poultry Science* **93**: 906–915.
- Association of Official Analytical Chemists (AOAC). (2012). Official Methods of Analysis. Edited by AOAC; Washington, DC, USA.
- Aviagen (2014). Ross Broiler Management Handbook. www.aviagen.com.
- Barrier-Gillot B., Casado P., Jondreville C. and Gatel F. (1996). Wheat-phosphorus availability: I- *In vitro* study: factors affecting endogenous phytasic activity and phytic phosphorus content. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture* **70**: 62–68.
- Beeson L.A., Walk C.L., Bedford M.R. and Olukosi O.A. (2017). Hydrolysis of phytate to its lower esters can influence the growth performance and nutrient utilization of broilers with regular or super doses of phytase. *Poultry Science* **96**: 2243–2253.
- Cabahug S., Ravindran V., Bryden W.L. and Selle P.H. (1999). Response of broilers to microbial phytase supplementation as influenced by dietary phytic acid and non-phytate phosphorus levels. I. Effects on broiler performance and toe ash content. *British Poultry Science* **40**: 660–666.
- Chung T.K., Rutherford S.M., Thomas D.V. and Moughan P.J. (2013). Effect of two microbial phytases on mineral availability and retention and bone mineral density in low-phosphorous diets for broilers. *British Poultry Science* **54**(3): 362–373.
- Cowieson A.J., Acamovic T. and Bedford M.R. (2004). The effect of phytase and phytic acid on endogenous losses from broiler chickens. *British Poultry Science*, **45**: 101–108.
- Cowieson A.J. and Bedford M.R. (2009). The effect of phytase and carboxylase on ileal amino acid digestibility in monogastric diets: Complimentary mode of action? *World's Poultry Science Journal* **65**: 609–624.
- Cowieson A.J., Wilcock P. and Bedford M.R. (2011). Super-dosing effects of phytase in poultry and swine. *World's Poultry Science Journal* **67**(2): 225–236.
- CVB (2018) <http://www.cvbdiervoeding.nl/bestand/10501/cvb-feed-table-2018-edition-2.pdf>.ashx
- Delezie E., Bierman K., Nollet L. and Maertens L. (2015). Impacts of calcium and phosphorus concentration, their ratio, and phytase supplementation level on growth performance, foot pad lesions, and hock burn of broiler chickens. *Journal of Applied Poultry Research* **24**: 115–126.
- Dersjant-Li Y., Evans C. and Kumarb A. (2018). Effect of phytase dose and reduction in dietary calcium on performance, nutrient digestibility, bone ash and mineralization in broilers fed corn-soybean meal-based diets with reduced nutrient density. *Animal Feed Science and Technology* **242**: 95–110.
- Eeckhout W. and De Paepe M. (1994). Total phosphorus phytate-phosphorus and phytase activity in plant feed stuffs. *Animal Feed Science and Technology* **47**: 19–29.
- Eeckhout W. and De Paepe M. (1996). *In vitro* and *in vivo* comparison of microbial and plant phytase. In: *Phytase in Animal Nutrition and Waste Management* (Coelho M.B. and Kornegay E.T., Eds.). BASF, New Jersey, pp 237–240.
- EN ISO 30024 (2009). Animal feeding stuffs – determination of phytase activity. <https://www.iso.org/standard/45787.html>
- Gautier A.E., Walk C.L. and Dilger R.N. (2018). Effects of a high level of phytase on broiler performance, bone ash, phosphorous utilisation and phytate dephosphorylation to inositol. *Poultry Science* **97**: 211–218.
- Kim S.-W., Li W., Angel R. and Proszkowiec-Weglarczyk M. (2018). Effects of limestone particle size and dietary Ca concentration on apparent P and Ca digestibility in the presence or absence of phytase. *Poultry Science* **97**: 4306–4314.
- Kozłowski K., Jankowski J. and Jeroch H. (2009). Efficacy of different phytase preparations in broiler rations. *Polish Journal of Veterinary Sciences* **12**: 389–393.
- Kozłowski K., Jankowski J. and Jeroch H. (2010). Efficacy of different levels of *Escherichia coli* phytase in broiler diets with a reduced P content. *Polish Journal of Veterinary Sciences* **13**: 431–436.
- Lei X.G. and Stahl C.H. (2001). Biotechnological development of effective phytases for mineral nutrition and environmental protection. *Applied Microbiology Biotechnology* **57**: 474–481.
- Leyva-Jimenez H., Alsadwi A.M., Gardner K., Voltura E. and Bailey C.A. (2019). Evaluation of high dietary phytase supplementation on performance, bone mineralization, and apparent ileal digestible energy of growing broilers. *Poultry Science* **98**: 811–819.
- Nelson T.S., Shieh T.R., Wodzinski R.J. and Ware J.H. (1971). Effect of supplemental phytase on the utilisation of phytate phosphorus by chicks. *Journal of Nutrition* **101**: 1289–1294.
- Council NR (NRC) (1994). Nutrient Requirements of Swine: Eleventh Revised Edition [Internet]. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press. Available from: <https://www.nap.edu/catalog/13298/nutrient-requirements-of-swine-eleventh-revised-edition>
- Ravindran V., Carbahug S., Ravindran G., Selle P.H. and Bryden W.L. (2000). Response of broiler chickens to microbial phytase supplementation as influenced by dietary phytic acid and non-phytate phosphorous levels. II. Effects on apparent metabolizable energy, nutrient digestibility and nutrient retention. *British Poultry Science* **41**: 193–200.
- Rodriguez E., Han Y. and Lei X. (1999). Cloning, sequencing, and expression of an *Escherichia coli* Acid Phosphatase/Phytase Gene (appA2) isolated from pig colon. *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications* **257**: 117–123.
- Rutherford S.M., Chung T.K., Thomas D.V., Zou M.L. and Moughan P.J. (2012). Effect of a novel phytase on growth performance, apparent metabolizable energy and the availability of minerals and amino acids in a low-phosphorous corn-soya bean meal diet for broilers. *Poultry Science* **91**: 1118–1127.
- Scholey D. V., Morgan N. K., Riemensperger A., Hardy R. and Burton E.J. (2018). Effect of supplementation of phytase to diets low in inorganic phosphorus on growth performance and mineralization of broilers. *Poultry Science* **97**: 2435–2440.
- Sebastian S., Touchburn S.P., Chavez E.R. and Lague P.C. (1996). Efficacy of supplemental microbial phytase at different dietary calcium levels on growth performance and mineral utilisation of broiler chickens. *Poultry Science* **75**: 1516–1523.
- Simons P.C.M., Versteegh H.A.J., Jongbloed A.W., Kemme P.A., Slump P., Bos K.D., Wolters M.G.E., Beudeker R.F. and Verschoor G.J. (1990). Improvement of phosphorus availability

- by microbial phytase in broilers and pigs. *British Journal of Nutrition* **64**: 525–540.
- Singh P.K., Khatta V.K. and Thakur R.S.** (2003a). Effect of phytase supplementation in maize based diet on growth performance and nutrients utilisation of broiler chickens. *Indian Journal of Animal Sciences* **73**(4): 455–458.
- Singh P.K., Khatta V.K., Thakur R.S., Dey S. and Sangwan M.L.** (2003b). Effects of phytase supplementation on the performance of broiler chickens fed maize and wheat-based diets with different levels of non-phytate phosphorus. *Asian-Australasian Journal of Animal Sciences* **16**(11): 1642–1649.
- Singh P.K.** (2008). Significance of phytic acid and phytase in chicken nutrition. *World's Poultry Science Journal* **64**(4): 553–580.
- Short F.J., Gorton P., Wiseman J. and Boorman K.N.** (1996). Determination of titanium dioxide added as an inert marker in chicken digestibility studies. *Animal Feed Science and Technology* **59**(4): 215–221.
- Walk C.L., Bedford M.R. and McElroy A.P.** (2012). Influence of limestone and phytase on broiler performance, gastrointestinal pH and apparent ileal nutrient digestibility. *Poultry Science* **91**: 1371–1378.
- Walk C.L., Bedford M.R., and Olukosi O.A.** (2018). Effect of phytase on growth performance, phytate degradation and gene expression of myo-inositol transporters in the small intestine, liver and kidney of 21 day old broilers. *Poultry Science* **97**: 1155–1162.
- Walk C.L., Venkata S. and Rama R.** (2019). High doses of phytase on growth performance and apparent ileal amino acid digestibility of broilers fed diets with graded concentrations of digestible lysine. *Journal of Animal Science* **97**: 698–713.